Provisional Acts of GS Aldergrove 2025 1 2 3 Day 1 — Morning Session 4 5 **Tuesday, May 6, 2025 Article 1 – Opening of Synod** 6 On behalf of the convening church, the Aldergrove CanRC, Rev. Rob Schouten called the 7 meeting to order. He then read Colossians 1:1-23, and, with a focus on Colossians 1:18a, spoke 8 some words of reflection (see Appendix 1). He then led in prayer and had the assembly sing 9 Hymn 44. He spoke some encouraging words to the delegates regarding the work before them. 10 11 Article 2 – Credentials 12 The credentials were examined by the Aldergrove CanRC and all was found to be in good order. 13 Twenty-two primary delegates and two alternate delegates were present and signed the 14 attendance list. 15 Delegated by Regional Synod West 2024: 16 Ministers: Hendrik Alkema, Karlo Janssen. Joe Poppe, Rob Schouten, Carl Van Dam, 17 Ken Wieske 18 Elders: Richard J. Buist (alt.), Ken Hoeksema (alt.), Lyndon Kok, James Teitsma, Bill 19 van Beek, Adam van den Hoven 20 Delegated by Regional Synod East 2024: 21 Ministers: Rolf den Hollander, Peter Holtvlüwer, Hilmer Jagersma, Marc Jagt, John 22 Louwerse. Jake Torenvliet 23 Elders: Don Aasman, Gerald Boot, Ron Bremer, John Harsevoort, John Jager, Andy 24 25 Jairam 26 27 **Article 3 – Election of Officers** The following officers were elected to serve Synod for its duration: 28 Chairman: Rev. John Louwerse 29 Vice-chairman: Rev. Rob Schouten 30 First Clerk: Rev. Karlo Janssen 31 Second Clerk: Rev. Peter Holtvlüwer 32 33 **Article 4 – Constitution of Synod** 34 On behalf of the convening church, Rev. Schouten declared Synod constituted. The elected 35

On behalf of the convening church, Rev. Schouten declared Synod constituted. The elected officers took their places. Rev. Louwerse called for a break to give the executive the opportunity to come with proposals regarding the proceedings of Synod and the division of tasks among the various members of Synod.

40 Synod was adjourned.

41

36

37

Day 1 — Afternoon Session Tuesday, May 6, 2025

Article 5 – Reopening

45 Synod reopened in plenary session at 1:00pm. The chairman had the meeting sing Hymn 82:1.

He read the roll and noted all synod members were present. He thanked the assembly for the

47 confidence expressed in the elected officers of Synod. He expressed appreciation to the

convening church for all the work done in preparation for Synod. He extended a warm welcome

to the fraternal delegates and observers.

49 50 51

52 53

54 55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

42

43

44

46

Article 6 – Housekeeping Matters

The executive presented recommendations on housekeeping matters. Synod decided the following:

- 1. *Presence on the Internet*: Synod will publish the *Acts of Synod* on the federation website as they become available. However, as the *Acts* are adopted, Synod will decide whether there are any decisions which should not be immediately posted on the Internet. Confidential acts will not be posted. Only plenary sessions in which individuals address General Synod and the churches will be live streamed publicly (cf. GS 2025 art. 62).
- 2. Time Schedule:

Monday to Friday

morning session -9:00 - 12:00

afternoon session – 2:00 - 5:00

evening session -7:00 - 9:00

Saturday May 10

morning session -9:00-1:00

- 3. *Devotions*: Synod shall begin and close each day in plenary session with Scripture reading, prayer and singing. Mealtimes will be opened with Scripture reading and prayer. A schedule will be handed out for the mealtime and evening close.
- 4. *Press Release*: A press release shall be published after synod has been closed.
- 5. *Advisory Committees*: Advisory Committees shall use the template provided by the first clerk for their proposals. These proposals shall be submitted via email to the first clerk before they are dealt with in plenary session. The first clerk will ensure distribution digitally and in print upon request.
- 6. Synod Documents: Copies of internal synod documents are available only to members of
 synod and fraternal delegates. Officially delegated observers will receive all non-confidential
 materials.
- 77. *Guidelines*: For all procedures the Guidelines for Synod shall apply.
- 8. *Travel expenses*: Expenses are to be submitted, with receipts, to the convening church using the supplied form.
- 9. *Roll call*: Roll call shall take place each plenary session by means of a visual check by the executive.

Article 7 – Late Submissions

- Regarding late submissions the executive recommended the following:
 - 1. Letters from the Free Church of Scotland (FCS) and Free Church of Scotland (Continuing) (FCC): admitted.
 - 2. Credo Christian High School re visiting GS 2025 on the morning of Monday, May 12: admitted and agreed to accommodate.
 - 3. Letter from Convening Church re confidential materials: admitted.
 - 4. Letter from the Carman East CanRC re General Fund: admitted as this was mandated by GS 2022.
 - 5. Appointment letters from various Synod committees: admitted as these were mandated by GS 2022.
 - 6. Letter re admissibility of two personal appeals: admitted as it pertains to appeals already on the agenda (listed 8.6.8).
 - 7. Letter re admissibility of appeals submitted without prior notification: admitted as it pertains to appeals already on the agenda (listed under 8.6.8).
 - 8. Letter from the Convening Church re item 7 above: admitted for information.

98 99

83

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

ADOPTED

100101102

103

104

105

106

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

Article 8 – Format of the Acts

1. Materials

- 1.1 Submissions from: Glanbrook (Trinity) (8.4.4), Hamilton (Cornerstone) (8.1.8), Flamborough (Redemption) (8.1.4), Ancaster (8.1.2), Attercliffe (8.1.3)
- 1.2 Appeal from Chilliwack (8.6.6)

107 **2. Admissibility**

2.1 The submissions were declared admissible as they interact with GS 2022 art. 7.8.

3. Observations

3.1 The above submissions express concern over GS 2022 art. 7.8 to change the format of synodical decisions from the traditional structure:

 $\it Materials-Admissibility-Observations-Considerations-Recommendations$ to the structure:

Materials – Admissibility – Decisions – Grounds.

- 3.2 The churches argue that the previous format:
 - Better reflected the content of overtures, appeals and the thoughts of the churches through the "Observations" section.
 - Explained the reasoning of a Synod more fully through "Considerations".
 - Provided a clearer basis for accountability and understanding, especially for future assemblies and local office-bearers.
- 3.3 The Glanbrook (Trinity) CanRC overture notes that a similar proposal was already rejected by GS 2013, after full interaction with the churches. GS 2022 adopted the new

- format without reference to this prior decision and without receiving an overture from the churches.
 - 3.4 Several submissions (Glanbrook, the Chilliwack CanRC, the Hamilton (Cornerstone) CanRC) express concern that the change in 2022 was adopted via an informal letter and motion, with no clear procedural justification or visibility for the churches.
 - 3.5 Chilliwack notes that decisions are now harder to interpret and appeal.
 - 3.6 The Flamborough (Redemption) CanRC and Cornerstone highlight how the observations and considerations serve a distinct ecclesiastical purpose, making synodical reasoning both transparent and logically traceable. They argue that brevity should not come at the cost of ecclesiastical clarity and accountability.
 - 3.7 The submissions uniformly request that Synod 2025 restore the previous format and codify it in the Guidelines to ensure consistent, transparent recording of synodical decisions.

4. Considerations

- 4.1 The churches raise valid concerns. The previous format supported an ecclesiastical manner of decision-making (CO art. 30) by:
 - Summarizing the voices of the churches (Observations),
 - Articulating the logic of Synod's evaluation (Considerations), and
 - Stating the actual action (Recommendations).
- 4.2 The new format lacks transparency. Without observations and considerations:
 - Readers must cross-reference other documents to understand Synod's response to the churches.
 - Churches cannot easily see whether their concerns were heard or how their arguments were weighed.
 - Future appeals become more difficult to formulate due to insufficient published reasoning.
- 4.3 The manner in which the GS 2022 change was adopted—via an informal letter and insession motion—did not meet the standards normally expected for structural decisions. It also bypassed prior Synodical precedent (GS 2013).
- 4.4 A uniform format, clearly stipulated in the Guidelines for Synod, would serve the churches by providing consistent expectations and strengthening trust in the decision-making process.

5. Recommendation

That Synod decide:

- 5.1 To return to the previously used format for all synodical decisions:

 Materials Admissibility Observations Considerations Recommendation(s)
- 5.2 To revise the *Guidelines for General Synod* to specify this format as the standard for all future Synods. (see Appendix XXX)

ADOPTED

164	Aı	rticle 9 – Agenda
165		
166	1	OPENING ON BEHALF OF THE CONVENING CHURCH
167	2	EXAMINATION OF THE CREDENTIALS
168		2.1 Credentials Regional Synod East (RSE)
169		2.2 Credentials Regional Synod West (RSW)
170		2.3 Credentials Fraternal Delegates & Observers
171		2.3.1 Credentials Delegate: Free Reformed Churches of North America (FRCSA)
172		2.3.2 Credentials Delegates: Free Reformed Churches of Australia (FRCA)
173		2.3.3 Credentials Delegate: United Reformed Churches in North America (URCNA)
174		2.3.4 Credentials Delegate: Reformed Churches of New Zealand (RCNZ)
175		2.3.5 Credentials Delegate: Reformed Church in the United States (RCUS)
176		2.3.6 Credentials Delegate: Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC)
177		2.3.7 Credentials Observer: Reformed Presbyterian Church of North America (RPCNA)
178		2.3.8 Credentials Delegate: Reformed Calvinist Churches in Indonesia (GGRCI)
179		2.3.9 Credentials Delegate: Reformed Church of Quebec (ERQ)
180		2.3.10 Credentials Observer: Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK)
181		2.3.11 Credentials Observer: Associate Reformed Presbyterian Church (ARPC)
182	3	ELECTION OF THE OFFICERS
183	4	CONSTITUTION OF SYNOD
184	5	INFORMATION FROM THE CONVENING CHURCH
185	6	ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA
186	7	SETTING OF THE TIME SCHEDULE
187	8	INCOMING MAIL
188		8.1 GENERAL MATTERS
189		8.1.1 GKN request for sister church relationship
190		8.1.2 Ancaster letter re Format of the Acts of GS
191		8.1.3 Attercliffe re Format of the Acts of GS
192		8.1.4 Flamborough (Redemption) letter re Format of the Acts of GS
193		8.1.5 Glanbrook (Trinity) re Format of Acts
194		8.1.6 Chilliwack re Format of Acts
195		8.1.7 Guelph (Emmanuel) re delegate selection for GS officers
196		8.1.8 Hamilton (Cornerstone) Overture re Housekeeping matters
197		8.1.9 Glanbrook (Trinity) letter re procedure for Liturgical Forms8.1.10 Langley re procedure re CO55 see (cf. 8.3.8.1.35)
198		8.1.11 FCC letter of greetings
199 200		8.1.12 FCS response to invitation to attend Synod
200		8.1.13 letter from Credo Christian High School re visit
202		8.1.14 letter from the Correspondence Committee Aldergrove re retention of material
		8.2 COMMITTEE REPORTS
203		
204		8.2.1 Synod Guelph 2022
205		8.2.1.1 Guelph (Emmanuel) cover letter re GS 2022
206		8.2.1.2 Guelph (Emmanuel) re Financial Report GS 2022
207		8.2.1.3 Guelph (Living Word) re Audit GS 2022

208	8.2.2 Archives of GS 2022
209 210	8.2.2.1 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) Report re inspection of Archives GS 20228.2.2.2 Burlington (Ebenezer) Report re Archives GS
211	8.2.3 Days of Prayer ad CO art. 54
212 213	8.2.3.1 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) and Edmonton (Providence) re Days of Prayer
214	8.2.4 Committee for Needy Student Fund - (CNSF)
215	8.2.4.1 Grassie (Covenant) CNSF Report to GS 2025
216	8.2.5 Committee for the Official Website - (CWeb)
217	8.2.5.1 CWeb Report to GS 2025
218	8.2.6 Canadian Reformed Theological Seminary (CRTS)
219	8.2.6.1 BoG at CRTS Report to GS 2025
220	8.2.6.2 CRTS Supplemental Report
221	8.2.7 Committee for Pastoral Training Program (CPTPF)
222	8.2.7.1 CPTPF Report to GS 2025
223	8.2.8 Standing Committee for the Publication of the <i>Book of Praise</i> (SCBP)
224	8.2.8.1 SCBP Report to GS 2025
225	8.2.8.2 SCBP Supplement Report re Proposed Songs
226	8.2.8.3 SCBP Further Note on SCBP Reports
227	8.2.8.4 SCBP additional letter re mandate
228	8.2.9 Committee for Bible Translations (CBT)
229	8.2.9.1 CBT Report to GS 2025
230	8.2.10 Committee on Ecumenical Relations (CER)
231	8.2.10.1 Report 1: General Mandate
232	8.2.10.2 Report 2: CER Study Mandate
233	8.2.10.3 Report 3: Associate Reformed Presbyterian Church (ARPC)
234	8.2.10.4 Report 4: Reformed Church of Quebec (ERQ)
235 236	8.2.10.5 Report 5: Free Reformed Churches in North America (FRCNA) 8.2.10.6 Report 6: Heritage Reformed Congregations (HRC)
237	8.2.10.7 Report 7: Korean Presbyterian Church in America (Kosin) (KPCA-K)
238	8.2.10.8 Report 8: Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC)
239	8.2.10.9 Report 9: Reformed Church in the United States (RCUS)
240	8.2.10.10 Report 10: Reformed Presbyterian Church of North America (RPCNA)
241	8.2.10.11 Report 11: Reformed Presbyterian Church of Canada (RPCC)
242	8.2.10.12 Report 12: United Reformed Churches in North America (URCNA)
243	8.2.10.13 Report 13: Free Reformed Churches of Australia (FRCA) 8.2.10.14 Report 14: Reformed Churches in Brazil (IRB)
244 245	8.2.10.14 Report 14: Reformed Churches in Brazii (IRB) 8.2.10.15-17: Introduction to the Indonesia Reports
246	8.2.10.15 Report 15: Reformed Churches in Indonesia (GGRI)
247	8.2.10.16 Report 16: The Calvinist Reformed Churches in Indonesia (GGRCI)
248	8.2.10.17 Report 17: Reformed Churches in Indonesia on the island of Timor (GGRI-
249	T)

_	
250	8.2.10.18 Report 18: Kosin Presbyterian Church in Korea (KPCK)
251	8.2.10.19 Report 19: Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK)
252	8.2.10.20 Report 20: Reformed Churches in New Zealand (RCNZ)
253	8.2.10.21 Report 21: Free Church of Scotland (FCS)
254	8.2.10.22 Report 22: Free Church of Scotland (Continuing) (FCC)
255	8.2.10.23 Report 23: Free Reformed Churches in South Africa (FRCSA)
256	8.2.10.24 Report 24: North American Presbyterian and Reformed Council
257	(NAPARC)
258	8.2.10.25 Report 25: International Conference of Reformed Churches (ICRC)
259	8.2.10.26 CER supplementary report to GS 2025 (re GK)
260	8.2.10.27 CER financial report 2022-2025
261	8.2.10.28 Confidential submission from CER re 8.2.10.16 and 8.2.10.17
262	8.2.11 Address Church
263	8.2.11.1 Report from the Address Church - Burlington (Ebenezer)
264	8.2.12 General Fund
265	8.2.12.1 General Fund Report Carman East
266	8.2.12.2 Carman West review of General Fund
267	8.3 LETTERS FROM THE CHURCHES REGARDING THE REPORTS
268	8.3.1 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re term 'Audit' in reports
269	8.3.2 Calgary re GS 2022 Financial report
270	8.3.3 Letter Regarding Days of Prayer ad CO art. 54
271	8.3.3.1 Grassie (Covenant) re Days of Prayer report
272	8.3.4 Letter Regarding CNSF
273	8.3.4.1 Fergus North re CNSF
274	8.3.4.2 Willoughby Heights re CNSF
275	8.3.5 Letter Regarding CWeb - none
276	8.3.6 Letter Regarding CRTS
277	8.3.6.1 Toronto (Bethel) re CRTS 6th professor
278	8.3.7 Letters Regarding CPTPF
279	8.3.7.1 Willoughby Heights re CPTPF
280	8.3.8 Letters Regarding SCBP
281	8.3.8.1 Sub Proposed songs incl. hymn cap and general interactions
282	8.3.8.1.1 Aldergrove re SCBP proposed songs
283	8.3.8.1.2 Aldergrove Attachment #2 re proposed songs (Psalms)
284	8.3.8.1.3 Aldergrove Attachment #3 re proposed songs (Hymns)
285	8.3.8.1.4 Aldergrove Attachment #4 re proposed songs (Psalms & Hymns)
286	8.3.8.1.5 Aldergrove re SCBP proposed songs 2
287	8.3.8.1.6 Attercliffe re SCBP report points 2,3,6,7
288	8.3.8.1.7 Barrhead re SCBP report re Psalms and Hymns and Liturgical Forms
289	(cf. 8.3.8.2.3)
290	8.3.8.1.8 Burlington (Fellowship) SCBP report re songs
291	8.3.8.1.9 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re SCBP Hymns

_		
292 293	8.3.8.1.10	Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re predominance of Psalms and RSE 2024 re CO art. 55
294	838111	Caledonia re SCBP report Liturgical Forms and Principled View (cf.
295	0.5.0.1.11	8.3.8.2.5)
296	8.3.8.1.12	Calgary re SCBP report various
297		Carman East re SCBP report Principled View
298		Chilliwack re SCBP Liturgical Forms and Principled View
299		Devon re SCBP re proposed hymn
300		Edmonton (Immanuel) cover letter for 9 appendices
301		Edmonton (Immanuel) re SCBP mandate items
302		Edmonton (Immanuel) re SCBP supplement - proposed songs
303		Edmonton (Providence) re SCBP report re errata section 2 #13
304		Elm Creek re SCBP5 funding/publish model
305		Elm Creek re SCBP6 proposed psalm and hymns
306		Elm Creek re SCBP7 Principled View
307		Fergus (Maranatha) re SCBP Principled Guidelines
308	8.3.8.1.24	Fergus (Maranatha) re SCBP Producing an Augment
309		Fergus (Maranatha) re SCBP Proposed Hymns
310		Fergus (Maranatha) re SCBP Proposed Psalms
311		Flamborough (Redemption) re SCBP report re proposed Psalms and
312		Hymns
313	8.3.8.1.28	Grand Rapids re SCBP report re proposed Psalms and Hymns
314	8.3.8.1.29	Grand Valley re SCBP Liturgical Forms and Principled View (cf.
315		8.3.8.2.12)
316	8.3.8.1.30	Grassie (Covenant) re SCBP Principled View
317	8.3.8.1.31	Guelph (Emmanuel) re SCBP report sect 2,3,5,6
318	8.3.8.1.32	Hamilton (Cornerstone) re proposed songs
319	8.3.8.1.33	Hamilton (Providence) re SCBP re publishing & funding
320	8.3.8.1.34	Kerwood (Grace) re proposed hymn
321	8.3.8.1.35	Langley re SCBP report re procedure, CO 55, Psalms, Hymns, cap (cf.
322		8.1.10)
323	8.3.8.1.36	Neerlandia re SCBP
324	8.3.8.1.37	Nooksack Valley re SCBP report Liturgical Forms & Principled View
325		(cf. 8.3.8.2.13)
326	8.3.8.1.38	Orangeville re SCBP report re proposed Psalms and Hymns (cf.
327		8.3.8.2.14)
328	8.3.8.1.39	Ottawa (Jubilee) re SCBP report Hymns
329	8.3.8.1.40	Owen Sound re SCBP report re proposed Psalms and Hymns
330	8.3.8.1.41	St. Albert re SCBP report re Augment
331	8.3.8.1.42	Taber re SCBP report
332	8.3.8.1.43	Toronto (Bethel) re SCBP report
333		Winnipeg (Grace) re SCBP proposed Psalms and Hymns
334		Winnipeg (Redeemer) re SCBP report re proposed Psalms and Hymns
335	8.3.8.1.46	Willoughby Heights re SCBP report various (cf. 8.3.8.2.17)
336	8.3.8.2 Sub	Liturgical Forms

337	8.3.8.2.1 Aldergrove re SCBP Lord's Supper Form
338	8.3.8.2.2 Arthur re SCBP Lord's Supper Form
339	8.3.8.2.3 Barrhead re SCBP report re Psalms and Hymns and Liturgical Forms
340	(cf. 8.3.8.1.7)
341	8.3.8.2.4 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re SCBP Lord's Supper Form
342	8.3.8.2.5 Caledonia re SCBP report Liturgical Forms and Principled View (cf.
343	8.3.8.1.11)
344	8.3.8.2.6 Chilliwack re SCBP Liturgical Forms and Principled View (cf.
345	8.3.8.1.14)
346	8.3.8.2.7 Elm Creek re SCBP2 Lord Supper form
347	8.3.8.2.8 Elm Creek re SCBP3 proposed shorter LS forms
348	8.3.8.2.9 Fergus (Maranatha) re SCBP Liturgical Form of Lord's Supper
349	8.3.8.2.10 Flamborough (Redemption) re SCBP proposed shorter LS forms
350	8.3.8.2.11 Guelph (Living Word) re 8.2.8.1 re Lord Supper form
351	8.3.8.2.12 Grand Valley re SCBP Liturgical Forms and Principled View (cf.
352	8.3.8.1.29)
353	8.3.8.2.13 Nooksack Valley re SCBP report Liturgical Forms and Principled View
354	(cf. 8.3.8.1.37)
355	8.3.8.2.14 Orangeville re SCBP proposed shorter LS forms (cf. 8.3.8.1.38)
356	8.3.8.2.15 St. Albert re SCBP Liturgical Form of Lord's Supper
357	8.3.8.2.16 Surrey (Maranatha) re SCBP proposed shorter LS forms
358	8.3.8.2.17 Willoughby Heights re SCBP report various (cf. 8.3.8.1.46)
359	8.3.9 Letter regarding CBT Report
360	8.3.9.1 Calgary re CBT report
361	8.3.10 Letters Regarding CER Report
362	8.3.10.1 Ancaster re CER report 1 general mandate re licensure
363	8.3.10.2 Barrhead re CO art.50 and ARPC, ERQ
364	8.3.10.3 Brampton (Grace) re CER re CO art. 50 re Ecclesiastical Relationships
365	8.3.10.4 Brampton (Grace) re CER re rules for Ecclesiastical Fellowship
366	8.3.10.5 Burlington (Fellowship) CER on CO art. 50 re Ecclesiastical Fellowship
367	8.3.10.6 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re CER on CO art. 50 re Ecclesiastical
368	Fellowship
369	8.3.10.7 Calgary re CER report
370	8.3.10.8 Carman East re CER report 1 mandate
371	8.3.10.9 Flamborough (Redemption) re CER general
372	8.3.10.10 Grand Rapids re CER report
373	8.3.10.11 Guelph (Emmanuel) re CER report RPCC / PCA reports 11&19
374	8.3.10.12 Hamilton (Providence) re CER sub report 1 study mandate
375	8.3.10.13 Nooksack Valley re CER report
376	8.3.10.14 Attercliffe re CER sub report 2
377	8.3.10.15 Chilliwack re CER sub report 2
378	8.3.10.16 Edmonton (Immanuel) re CER sub report 2
379	8.3.10.17 Fergus North re CER sub report 2
380	8.3.10.18 Grassie (Covenant) re CER sub report 2

381	8.3.10.19 Fergus North re CER sub report 3 ARPC	
382	8.3.10.20 Grassie (Covenant) re CER sub report 3 ARPC	
383	8.3.10.21 Hamilton (Providence) re CER sub report 3 ARPC	
384	8.3.10.22 Fergus (Maranatha) re CER sub report 10 RPCNA	
385	8.3.10.23 Tintern Spring Creek re CER sub report 12 URCNA	
386	8.3.10.24 Tintern Spring Creek re CER re above appx URCNA Escondido 2024 pg	
387	412-416	
388	8.3.10.25 Smithville re CER sub report 17 GGRI-T	
389	8.3.10.26 Fergus (Maranatha) re CER sub report 19 GK	
390	8.3.10.27 Glanbrook (Trinity) re CER sub report 21 FCS	
391	8.4 OVERTURES	
392	8.4.1 Overture re Liturgical Forms	
393	8.4.1.1 Hamilton (Blessings) cover letter Overture re Liturgical Forms	
394	8.4.1.2 Classis Central Ontario (CCO) Overture to RSE 2020 re Liturgical Forms	
395	8.4.2 RSE 2024 cover letter re Overtures	
396	8.4.2.1 RSE 2024 Overture re Footnote for Apostles' Creed	
397	8.4.2.2 RSE 2024 Overture re CO art. 55	
398	8.4.2.3 RSE 2024 Overture re Shortening the Duration of GS	
399	8.4.2.4 RSE 2024 Overture re CO art. 49, 44, 47 (CCO)	
400	8.4.2.5 RSE 2024 Overture re CO art. 49, 44, 47 (CNO)	
401	8.4.3 RSW 2024 cover letter re Overtures	
402	8.4.3.1 RSW 2024 Overture re CO art. 30	
403	8.4.3.2 RSW 2024 Overture re CO art. 55 (CPE)	
404	8.4.3.3 RSW 2024 Overture re CO art. 55 (CPW)	
405	8.4.3.4 RSW 2024 Overture re Form of Baptism of Infants	
406	8.4.4 Glanbrook (Trinity) Overture re Format of Synod Decisions	
407	8.4.5 Glanbrook (Trinity) Overture re Length of Terms CER	
408	8.5 LETTERS FROM THE CHURCHES REGARDING THE OVERTURES	
409	8.5.1 Apostles' Creed (Overture 8.4.2.1)	
410	8.5.1.1 Attercliffe re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
411	8.5.1.2 Barrhead re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
412	8.5.1.3 Caledonia re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
113	8.5.1.4 Calgary re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
114	8.5.1.5 Carman East re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
415	8.5.1.6 Carman West re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
416	8.5.1.7 Chilliwack re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
417	8.5.1.8 Cloverdale re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
418	8.5.1.9 Edmonton (Immanuel) re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
119	8.5.1.10 Elm Creek re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
120	8.5.1.11 Fergus (Maranatha) re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
421	8.5.1.12 Fergus North re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
122	8.5.1.13 Edmonton (Providence) re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	
423	8.5.1.14 Flamborough (Redemption) re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed	

```
8.5.1.15 Houston re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed
424
                8.5.1.16 Neerlandia re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed
425
                8.5.1.17 Willoughby Heights re 8.4.2.1 Footnote Apostles' Creed
426
             8.5.2 CER terms (Overture 8.4.5)
427
                8.5.2.1 Attercliffe re 8.4.5 re CER length of term
428
             8.5.3 CO art. 30 (Overture 8.4.3.1)
429
430
                8.5.3.1 Arthur re RSW re CO art. 30
                8.5.3.2 Barrhead re CO art. 30
431
                8.5.3.3 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re CO art. 30
432
                8.5.3.4 Caledonia re RSW re CO art. 30
433
                8.5.3.5 Calgary re RSW re CO art. 30
434
                8.5.3.6 Carman East re RSW re CO art. 30
435
                8.5.3.7 Carman West re RSW re CO art. 30
436
                8.5.3.8 Dunnville West re CO art. 30
437
438
                8.5.3.9 Elm Creek re CO art. 30
                8.5.3.10 Elora re CO art. 30
439
                8.5.3.11 Fergus (Maranatha) re CO art. 30
440
                8.5.3.12 Flamborough (Redemption) re CO art. 30
441
                8.5.3.13 Grand Rapids re CO art. 30
442
                8.5.3.14 Grand Valley re CO art. 30
443
                8.5.3.15 Grassie (Covenant) re CO art. 30
444
                8.5.3.16 Houston re CO art. 30
445
                8.5.3.17 Lincoln (Vineyard) re CO art. 30
446
                8.5.3.18 Neerlandia re CO art. 30
447
                8.5.3.19 Niagara South re CPW CO art. 30
448
                8.5.3.20 St. Albert re RSW re Co art. 30
449
                8.5.3.21 Toronto (Bethel) re CO art. 30
450
                8.5.3.22 Willoughby Heights re CPW CO art. 30
451
             8.5.4 CO art. 49, 47, 44 (Overtures 8.4.2.4, 8.4.2.5)
452
                8.5.4.1 Ancaster re 8.4.2.4 & 8.4.2.5 CO art. 49 CCO CNO
453
                8.5.4.2 Brampton (Grace) re Overture re CO art. 49 (CCO, CNO)
454
                8.5.4.3 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re Overture re CO art. 49 (CCO, CNO)
455
                8.5.4.4 Caledonia re Overture re CO art. 49 (CCO, CNO)
456
                8.5.4.5 Calgary re RSE CO art. 49 (CCO)
457
                8.5.4.6 Calgary re RSE CO art. 49 (CNO)
458
                8.5.4.7 Carman East re RSE CO art. 49 (CCO)
459
                8.5.4.8 Carman East re RSE CO art. 49 (CNO)
460
                8.5.4.9 Chilliwack re CO art. 49 broader representation
461
                8.5.4.10 Cloverdale re 8.4.2.4 CO art. 49 (CCO)
462
                8.5.4.11 Cloverdale re 8.4.2.5 CO art. 49 (CNO)
463
                8.5.4.12 Coaldale re 8.4.2.4 CO art. 49 (CCO)
464
                8.5.4.13 Coaldale re 8.4.2.5 CO art. 49 (CNO)
465
                8.5.4.14 Edmonton (Immanuel) re 8.4.2.4 CO art. 49 (CCO)
466
                8.5.4.15 Edmonton (Immanuel) re 8.4.2.5 CO art. 49 (CNO)
467
```

```
8.5.4.16 Edmonton (Providence) re 8.4.2.4 & 8.4.2.5 CO art. 49
468
                8.5.4.17 Fergus (Maranatha) re CO art. 49
469
                8.5.4.18 Fergus North re 8.4.2.4 & 8.4.2.5 CO art. 49
470
                8.5.4.19 Grand Rapids re CO art. 49 (CNO, CCO)
471
                8.5.4.20 Lincoln (Vineyard) re 8.4.2.4 & 8.4.2.5 CO art. 49
472
                8.5.4.21 Neerlandia re CO art. 49
473
                8.5.4.22 Niagara South re RSE CO art. 49
474
                8.5.4.23 Orangeville re 8.4.2.4 CO art. 49 (CCO)
475
                8.5.4.24 Orangeville re 8.4.2.5 CO art. 49 (CNO)
476
                8.5.4.25 Ottawa (Jubilee) re 8.4.2.4 & 8.4.2.5 CO art.49
477
                8.5.4.26 Sardis re Overture re CO art. 49 (CNO, CCO)
478
                8.5.4.27 St. Albert re 8.4.2.4 CO art. 49 (CCO)
479
480
                8.5.4.28 St. Albert re 8.4.2.4 CO art. 49 (CNO)
                8.5.4.29 Willoughby Heights re Overture re CO art. 49 (CNO, CCO)
481
                8.5.4.30 Winnipeg (Redeemer) re Overture re CO art. 49 (CNO, CCO)
482
             8.5.5 CO art. 55 (Overtures 8.4.2.2, 8.4.3.2, 8.4.3.3)
483
                8.5.5.1 Abbotsford (Pathway) re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55
484
                8.5.5.2 Ancaster re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55
485
                8.5.5.3 Ancaster re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55 Appendix 1
486
                8.5.5.4 Ancaster re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55 Appendix 2
487
                8.5.5.5 Ancaster re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55 Appendix 3
488
                8.5.5.6 Ancaster re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55 Appendix 4
489
                8.5.5.7 Ancaster re 8.4.3.2 on CO art. 55
490
                8.5.5.8 Ancaster re 8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
491
492
                8.5.5.9 Arthur re RSE re CO art. 55
                8.5.5.10 Attercliffe re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55
493
                8.5.5.11 Barrhead re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
494
                8.5.5.12 Brampton (Grace) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
495
                8.5.5.13 Burlington (Fellowship) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
496
                8.5.5.14 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
497
                8.5.5.15 Caledonia re RSE re CO art. 55
498
                8.5.5.16 Caledonia re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
499
                8.5.5.17 Caledonia re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
500
                8.5.5.18 Calgary re RSE re CO art. 55
501
                8.5.5.19 Calgary re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
502
                8.5.5.20 Calgary re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
503
                8.5.5.21 Carman East re RSE re CO art. 55
504
                8.5.5.22 Carman East re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
505
                8.5.5.23 Carman East re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
506
                8.5.5.24 Carman West re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
507
                8.5.5.25 Carman West re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
508
                8.5.5.26 Chilliwack re CO art. 55
509
                8.5.5.27 Coaldale re RSE re CO art. 55
510
                8.5.5.28 Coaldale re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
511
                8.5.5.29 Coaldale re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
512
```

```
8.5.5.30 Dunnville East re RSE re CO art. 55
513
                8.5.5.31 Dunnville West re CO art. 55
514
                8.5.5.32 Edmonton (Immanuel) re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55
515
                8.5.5.33 Edmonton (Providence) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
516
                8.5.5.34 Elm Creek re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
517
                8.5.5.35 Elm Creek re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
518
                8.5.5.36 Fergus (Maranatha) re CO art. 55
519
                8.5.5.37 Fergus North re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55
520
                8.5.5.38 Fergus North re 8.4.3.2 on CO art. 55
521
                8.5.5.39 Fergus North re 8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
522
                8.5.5.40 Flamborough (Redemption) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
523
                8.5.5.41 Grand Rapids re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
524
525
                8.5.5.42 Grand Valley re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55
                8.5.5.43 Grassie (Covenant) re RSE re CO art. 55
526
                8.5.5.44 Grassie (Covenant) re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
527
                8.5.5.45 Grassie (Covenant) re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
528
                8.5.5.46 Guelph (Emmanuel) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art.55
529
                8.5.5.47 Guelph (Living Word) re RSE re CO art. 55
530
                8.5.5.48 Hamilton (Cornerstone) re RSE re CO art. 55
531
                8.5.5.49 Hamilton (Cornerstone) re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
532
                8.5.5.50 Hamilton (Cornerstone) re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
533
                8.5.5.51 Hamilton (Providence) re RSE re CO art. 55
534
                8.5.5.52 Houston re RSE re CO art. 55
535
                8.5.5.53 Houston re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
536
                8.5.5.54 Houston re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
537
                8.5.5.55 Lincoln (Vineyard) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
538
                8.5.5.56 Kerwood re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
539
                8.5.5.57 London (Pilgrim) re RSE CO art.55
540
                8.5.5.58 Lynden re 8.4.2.2 on CO art. 55
541
                8.5.5.59 Lynden re 8.4.3.2 on CO art. 55
542
                8.5.5.60 Lynden re 8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
543
                8.5.5.61 Neerlandia re CO art. 55
544
                8.5.5.62 Niagara South re RSE CO art. 55
545
                8.5.5.63 Niagara South re CPW CO art. 55
546
547
                8.5.5.64 Nooksack Valley re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
                8.5.5.65 Orangeville re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
548
                8.5.5.66 Ottawa (Jubilee) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
549
                8.5.5.67 Owen Sound re RSE CO art. 55
550
                8.5.5.68 Sardis re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
551
                8.5.5.69 Smithers (and Prince George (Messiah)) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art.
552
553
                8.5.5.70 Smithville re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
554
                8.5.5.71 St. Albert re RSE CO art. 55
555
                8.5.5.72 St. Albert re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPE)
556
                8.5.5.73 St. Albert re RSW re CO art. 55 (CPW)
557
```

58	8.5.5.74 Toronto (Bethel) re RSE CO art. 55
59	8.5.5.75 Vernon re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
60	8.5.5.76 Willoughby Heights re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
61	8.5.5.77 Winnipeg (Grace) re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
62	8.5.5.78 Yarrow re 8.4.2.2/8.4.3.2/8.4.3.3 on CO art. 55
63	8.5.6 Duration of GS (Overture 8.4.2.3)
64	8.5.6.1 Ancaster re RSE shortening of duration of GS
65	8.5.6.2 Attercliffe re shortening of duration of GS
66	8.5.6.3 Brampton (Grace) re shortening of duration of GS
67	8.5.6.4 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re shortening of duration of GS
68	8.5.6.5 Caledonia re shortening of duration of GS
69	8.5.6.6 Calgary re shortening of duration of GS
70	8.5.6.7 Carman West re CO art. 49 shortening duration of GS
71	8.5.6.8 Chilliwack re CO art. 49 shortening duration of GS
72	8.5.6.9 Coaldale re CO art. 49 shortening duration of GS
73	8.5.6.10 Edmonton (Immanuel) re RSE shortening of duration of GS
74	8.5.6.11 Edmonton (Providence) re RSE shortening of duration of GS
75	8.5.6.12 Elm Creek re Duration of Synod - proposal 1 - hybrid model
76	8.5.6.13 Elm Creek re Duration of Synod - proposal 2 - clerical support
77	8.5.6.14 Fergus (Maranatha) re Duration of Synod - proposal 1 - hybrid model
78	8.5.6.15 Fergus (Maranatha) re Duration of Synod - proposal 2 - clerical support
79	8.5.6.16 Fergus North re shortening of duration of GS
80	8.5.6.17 Grand Rapids re CO art. 49 shortening duration of GS
81	8.5.6.18 Grassie (Covenant) re CO art. 49 shortening duration of GS - delegation
82	8.5.6.19 Grassie (Covenant) re CO art. 49 shortening duration of GS- hybrid model
83	8.5.6.20 Neerlandia re CO art. 49 shortening duration of GS
84	8.5.6.21 Niagara South re RSE shortening of duration of GS
85	8.5.6.22 Ottawa (Jubilee) re RSE shortening of duration of GS
86	8.5.6.23 Smithville re shortening of duration of GS
37	8.5.6.24 St. Albert re CO art. 49 shortening duration GS
38	8.5.6.25 Willoughby Heights re CO art. 49 shortening duration GS
39	8.5.7 Format Synod Acts (Overture 8.4.4) - none
90	8.5.8 Liturgical Forms: Amending Questions (Overture 8.4.1.2)
91	8.5.8.1 Ancaster re 8.4.1.2 amending questions
92	8.5.8.2 Arthur re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
93	8.5.8.3 Attercliffe re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
94	8.5.8.4 Brampton (Grace) re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
95	8.5.8.5 Winnipeg (Redeemer) re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
96	8.5.8.6 Burlington (Ebenezer) re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
97	8.5.8.7 Burlington (Ebenezer) attachment letter to GS 1989
8	8.5.8.8 Burlington (Fellowship) re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
0	
	8.5.8.9 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re 8.4.1 Liturgical Forms
9 10	8.5.8.9 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re 8.4.1 Liturgical Forms 8.5.8.10 Calgary re 8.4.1.2 amending questions

602	8.5.8.12 Carman West re 8.4.1.2 amending questions
603	8.5.8.13 Chilliwack re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
604	8.5.8.14 Dunnville East re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
605	8.5.8.15 Edmonton (Immanuel) re 8.4.1.2 amending questions
606	8.5.8.16 Fergus North re 8.4.1
607	8.5.8.17 Grand Rapids re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
608	8.5.8.18 Grand Valley re 8.4.1.1 re Liturgical Forms
609	8.5.8.19 Houston re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
610	8.5.8.20 Houston re 8.4.1.2 amending questions
611	8.5.8.21 Kerwood re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
612	8.5.8.22 Langley re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
613	8.5.8.23 Niagara South re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
614	8.5.8.24 Orangeville re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
615	8.5.8.25 Ottawa (Jubilee) re 8.4.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
616	8.5.8.26 Sardis re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
617	8.5.8.27 Smithville re 8.4.1 re Liturgical Forms
618	8.5.8.28 Tintern Spring Creek re 8.4.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
619	8.5.8.29 Willoughby Heights re 8.4.1.1 Blessings re Liturgical Forms
620	8.5.9 Liturgical Forms: Infant Baptism (Overture 8.4.3.4)
621	8.5.9.1 Arthur re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
622	8.5.9.2 Attercliffe re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
623	8.5.9.3 Barrhead re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
624	8.5.9.4 Calgary re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
625	8.5.9.5 Carman East re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
626	8.5.9.6 Coaldale re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
627	8.5.9.7 Dunnville West re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
628	8.5.9.8 Edmonton (Providence) re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
629	8.5.9.9 Fergus (Maranatha) re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
630	8.5.9.10 Flamborough (Redemption) re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
631	8.5.9.11 Houston re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
632	8.5.9.12 Kerwood re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
633	8.5.9.13 Langley re Liturgical form procedure
634	8.5.9.14 Neerlandia re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
635	8.5.9.15 Niagara South re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
636	8.5.9.16 Ottawa (Jubilee) re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
637	8.5.9.17 St. Albert re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
638	8.5.9.18 Tintern Spring Creek re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
639	8.5.9.19 Willoughby Heights re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
640	8.5.8.20 Brampton (Grace) re 8.4.3.4 re Form for Baptism of Infants
641	8.6 APPEALS
642	8.6.1 re GS 2022 art. 105, Hymn Cap
643	8.6.1.1 Brampton (Grace) Appeal re GS 2022 art. 105, hymn cap
644	8.6.1.2 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) Appeal re GS 2022 art. 105, hymn cap
645	8.6.1.3 Flamborough (Redemption) Appeal re GS 2022 art. 105, hymn cap

646 647		8.6.1.4 Glanbrook (Trinity) Appeal re GS 2022 art. 105, hymn cap 8.6.1.5 Sardis Appeal re GS2022 art. 105 hymn cap
648		8.6.1.6 Toronto (Bethel) Appeal re GS 2022 art. 105, hymn cap Main
649		8.6.1.7 Toronto (Bethel) Appeal re hymn cap Appx1 - Overture RSE 2020
650		8.6.1.8 Toronto (Bethel) Appeal re hymn cap Appx2 – GS 2022 art. 105
651		8.6.1.9 Vernon Appeal re GS 2022 art. 105 hymn cap
652		8.6.2 re GS 2022 art. 142, worship services on days of commemoration
653 654		8.6.2.1 Dunnville West Appeal re GS 2022 art. 142 Worship services on days of commemoration
655		8.6.3 re GS 2022 art. 155, Guest Preachers
656		8.6.3.1 Burlington (Fellowship) re GS 2022 art. 155, Guest Preachers
657		8.6.3.2 Coaldale Appeal re GS 2022 art. 155.4.4, Guest Preachers
658		8.6.3.3 Ottawa (Jubilee) Appeal re GS 2022 art. 155, Guest Preachers
659		8.6.4 re GS 2022 art. 143 Preparatory Examinations by Classis
660 661		8.6.4.1 Coaldale Appeal re GS 2022 art. 143 3.2 & 3.4, Preparatory Examination by Classis
662		8.6.5 re RSE 2021 art. 15 re CO art. 55
663		8.6.5.1 Glanbrook (Trinity) Appeal re RSE 2021 art. 15, re CO art. 55
664		8.6.5.2 Glanbrook (Trinity) Appeal re RSE 2021 art. 15, appendices
665		8.6.6 re GS 2022 art. 7 re Format of the Acts
666		8.6.6.1 Chilliwack Appeal re GS 2022 art. 7 Format of the Acts
667		8.6.7 re GS 2022 art. 111, re SCBP modernization of language of hymns
668 669		8.6.7.1 Flamborough (Redemption) Appeal re GS 2022 art. 111, modernization of language 3 hymns
670		8.6.8 Confidential Appeals
671		8.6.8.1 Appeal re GS 2022 (confidential acts) art.60 & 81 (Willoughby Heights)
672		8.6.8.2 Personal Appeal (confidential)
673		8.6.8.3 email re confidential appeals
674		8.6.8.4 Personal Appeal (confidential)
675		8.6.8.5 Personal Appeal (confidential)
676		8.6.8.6 Letter regarding appeal (confidential)
677		8.6.8.7 letter regarding confidential appeals
678		8.6.8.8 letter from the Correspondence Committee Aldergrove CONFIDENTIAL
679		8.6.9 Personal Appeal
680		8.6.9.1 incl. 13 attachments to the above
681		8.6.10 Appeal of GS 2022 art. 108 re length of appointments
682		8.6.10.1 Ancaster re GS 2022 art. 108, re length of appointments
683		8.6.11 Appeal of GS 2019 art. 23 and GS 2022 art. 120
684		8.6.11.1 Kerwood re Lord's Supper forms
685	9	APPOINTMENTS
686		9.1 Board of Governors CRTS (BoG) Academic and Finance & Property Committees

687 688	9.1.1 Nominations from RSE 9.1.2 Nominations from RSW
689	9.1.3 Nominations for Finance & Property Committee
690	9.2 CPTPF - Committee for Pastoral Training Funding
691	9.3 CER - Committee on Ecumenical Relations
692	9.3.1 CER Nominations for appointments
693	9.4 SCBP - Standing Committee for the Publication of the <i>Book of Praise</i>
694	9.4.1 SCBP Nominations for appointments
695	9.5 CBT - Committee on Bible Translations
696	9.6 CWeb - Committee for the Official Website
697	9.6.1 CWeb - Nomination for appointment
698	9.7 CNSF - Committee for the Needy Students' Fund
699	9.8 Churches for Days of Prayer ad CO art. 54
700	9.8.1 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re Appointment re CO art. 54
701	9.9 General Fund
702	9.10 Financial Review of the General Fund
703	9.11 Archive Church
704	9.12 The Church for inspecting the Archives
705	9.12.1 Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) re Inspection of Archives of GS
706	9.13 Financial Review of GS 2025
707 708	9.14 Address Church 9.15 Committee for Publication of the Acts
709	9.16 Convening Church for the next General Synod
710	10 CENSURE AD ARTICLE 34 CO
711	11 FINANCIAL MATTERS
712	12 PREPARATION FOR NEXT GENERAL SYNOD
713	12.1 Aldergrove re preparation considerations and recommendations
714	13 ADOPTION OF THE ACTS
715	14 APPROVAL OF THE PRESS RELEASE
716	15 CLOSING
717	
718	Upon a motion by Br. Boot and seconded by Rev. den Hollander the agenda was
719	ADOPTED
720	
721	Article 10 – Advisory Committees
722	Not to be published on the website until after Synod is over.
723	

724 Article 11 – Fraternal delegates and observers

A welcome was extended to a fraternal delegate and a fraternal observer who had arrived in the

course of the day. The chairman read out the decision of GS 2022 (art. 108 dec. 3.7) regarding

the rights and privileges of fraternal delegates and observers. He noted that this evening session 727 will focus on fraternal relations and will be livestreamed. 728 729 Following some further comments from the chairman and the first clerk regarding procedures, 730 Synod was adjourned for committee work. 731 732 733 Day 1 — Evening Session **Tuesday, May 6, 2025** 734 735 **Article 12 – Reopening** Synod reopened in plenary session at 7:00pm. The chairman had the meeting sing Psalm 111:1. 736 He observed all synod members were present. He extended a special welcome to fraternal 737 delegates, audience, and those on livestream, and noted that the business of this session would be 738 fraternal relations. 739 740 **Article 13 – GK (Reformed Churches [The Netherlands])** 741 1. Material 742 1.1 Letter from the Reformed Churches The Netherlands (GKN confirming request to enter 743 into sister church relationship (Jan. 18, 2024) (8.1.1) 744 1.2 CER Report 19: Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK) (8.2.10.19) 745 1.3 CER Supplementary Report (8.2.10.26) 746 1.4 Submissions from the following church: Fergus (Maranatha) (8.3.10.26) 747 2. Admissibility 748 All material was declared admissible. 749 3. Observations 750 3.1 GS 2022 (art. 148) observed: 751 [4.1] The Reformed Churches (DGK) display the marks of the true church of Jesus 752 Christ in their preaching, worship, and governance. 753 3.2 GS 2022 (art. 148) mandated the CER: 754 [3.1] To maintain contact with The Reformed Churches (DGK); 755 To express gratitude for how the DGK is dealing with the Liberated Reformed 756 Church at Abbotsford (LRCA). 757 [3.3] To mandate the Committee on Ecumenical Relations (CER): 758 [3.3.1] To continue to monitor developments within this federation, paying special 759 760 attention to: [3.3.1.1] The relationship between the DGK and the Reformed Churches The 761 Netherlands (GKN): 762 [3.3.1.2] The relationship between the DGK and the LRCA; 763 To be available for dialogue with the DGK; [3.3.2] 764 To submit its report to the churches six months prior to the convening of the [3.3.3] 765 766 next general synod.

767 3.3 GS 2022 (art. 149) observed:

- [4.1] The Reformed Churches The Netherlands (GKN) display the marks of the true church of Jesus Christ in their preaching, worship, and governance.
- 3.4 GS 2022 (art. 149) mandated the CER:
 - [3.1] To maintain contact with the Reformed Churches The Netherlands (GKN);
 - [3.2] To mandate the Committee on Ecumenical Relations (CER):
 - [3.2.1] To continue to monitor developments within this federation, paying special attention to:
 - [3.2.1.1] The relationship between the GKN and The Reformed Churches (DGK);
 - [3.2.2] To be available for dialogue with the GKN;
 - [3.2.2] To submit its report to the churches six months prior to the convening of the next general synod.
- 3.5 The CER Report observes:
 - 3.5.1 The impediments to entering into sister church relations with The Reformed Churches (DGK) and the Reformed Churches The Netherlands (GKN) are the continued separation of the DGK and the GKN and the DGK relationship with the Liberated Reformed Church at Abbotsford (LRCA).
 - 3.5.2 The Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK) still had to take decisions regarding ecumenical relations. It is likely that the request for Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF) made by the GKN to the CanRC will remain.
 - 3.5.3 Prior to the merger, the DGK decided by a majority vote to discontinue its relationship with the LRCA.
 - 3.5.4 The CER delegated Rev. Janssen to attend the events marking the merger of the DGK and the GKN on October 4, 2024, and the concurrent extraordinary synods the following day.
- 3.6 The CER Report 19 recommends that Synod establish Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF) Category A1 with the Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK) under the adopted rules;
- 3.7 The Reformed Churches The Netherlands (GKN) letter says, "on December 2, 2023, synod Kampen 2023 decided to recognize the churches of De Gereformeerde Kerken {DGK} as churches of Christ standing on the foundation of apostles and prophets ... As soon as the synod of DGK has made the same decision with regard to the GKN, the churches of DGK and GKN will be in a state of union and will work towards one church body."

4. Considerations

- 4.1 All impediments to ecclesiastical fellowship, identified by previous CanRC synods no longer exist. Namely:
 - 4.1.1 The Reformed Churches (DGK) has discontinued the sister church relationship with Liberated Reformed Church at Abbotsford (LRCA);
 - 4.1.2 The desired merger between the DGK and the Reformed Churches The Netherlands (GKN) to form the Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK) was confirmed on Oct 4, 2024.

- 4.2 The DGK agreed to honor the sister church relationships of the GKN (cf. GS 2024 DGK art. 3 C.7);
 - 4.3 The relationship CanRC-GK is in essence a continuation of the relationships CanRC-DGK and CanRC-GKN and, as such, in many ways a continuation of the relationship CanRC-GKv.

5. Recommendations

That Synod decide:

- 5.1 To express thankfulness to the Lord for the merger of The Reformed Churches (DGK) and the Reformed Churches The Netherlands (GKN) into the Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK).
- 5.2 To establish Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF) Category A [assuming Synod adopts the recommended new rules] with the Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK) under the adopted rules.
- 5.3 To mandate the Committee on Ecumenical Relations (CER):
 - 5.3.1 To convey this decision to the Reformed Churches [The Netherlands] (GK) as soon as possible;
 - 5.3.2 As opportunity arises, to be available for discussions to promote ecclesiastical harmony and unity between faithful Reformed churches in The Netherlands;
 - 5.3.3 To submit its report to the churches 6 months prior to the convening of the next general synod.

ADOPTED unanimously.

831 832 833

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824 825

826

827

828

829 830

Article 14 – GK – Fraternal Delegate Address

- 834 Rev. Karlo Janssen introduced Rev. Rik Douma, credentialed delegate of the Reformed
- Churches [The Netherlands] (GK). Rev. Douma addressed Synod and provided an overview of
- the GK. He expressed gratitude for the newly established sister-church relationship. The full text
- of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.
- Rev. Peter Holtvlüwer led in a prayer of thanksgiving and the assembly sang Psalm 124.

839 840

Article 15 – FCC – Letter of Greetings

- Rev. Karlo Janssen read a letter of greeting sent by the Free Church of Scotland Continuing
- 842 (FCC), declaring gratitude for our relationship and expressing regret at being unable to attend
- 843 Synod in person. The full text of the letter can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke
- some words in response.

845 846

Article 16 – GGRCI – Fraternal Delegate Address

- Rev. Carl Van Dam introduced Rev. Yonson Dethan, credentialed delegate of the Reformed
- 848 Calvinist Churches in Indonesia (GGRCI). Rev. Dethan addressed Synod and provided an
- overview of the GGRCI. He expressed gratitude for our sister-church relationship but wondered
- 850 if our relationship could be more fruitful with a view to the spread of the Gospel in Indonesia.

851 852	The full text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.
853	
854	Article 17 – RCUS – Fraternal Delegate Address
855 856 857 858 859 860	Rev. Marc Jagt introduced Rev. Travis Grassmid, credentialed delegate of the Reformed Church of the United States (RCUS). Rev. Grassmid addressed Synod and provided an overview of the RCUS. He expressed gratitude for our sister-church relationship. He explained how the RCUS will be celebrating its 300 th anniversary this summer. The full text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.
861	Article 18 – RCNZ – Fraternal Delegate Address
862 863 864 865 866 867	Rev. Carl Van Dam introduced Rev. David Stares, credentialed delegate of the Reformed Churches in New Zealand (RCNZ). Rev. Stares addressed Synod and provided an overview of the RCNZ. He expressed gratitude for our sister-church relationship, noting how the RCNZ has received two graduates from CRTS in recent years, and the transfer of members. The full text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.
868	Article 19 – OPC – Fraternal Delegate Address
869 870 871 872 873	Rev. Karlo Janssen introduced Rev. Robert Tarullo, credentialed delegate of the Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC). Rev. Tarullo addressed Synod and provided an overview of the OPC. He expressed gratitude for our sister-church relationship, describing various ways in which we work together. The full text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.
874	
875	Article 20 – Closing Devotions
876 877	Rev. Rolf den Hollander read John 21:15-19, led in prayer, remembering the FCC, GGRCI, RCUS, RCNZ, and OPC. The day was closed with the singing of Hymn 56.
878	C J J J
879	Synod was adjourned until 9:00am.
880 881	Day 2 — Morning Session
882	Wednesday, May 7, 2025
883	Article 21 – Reopening
884 885 886	Synod reopened in plenary session at 9:00am. The chairman observed all synod members were present. He read Psalm 87, spoke some devotional words, led in opening prayer, and had the meeting sing Psalm 87:1,2,4.
887	
888	Article 22 – Final Agenda adopted
889 890	A rearranged and renumbered version of the agenda was adopted as the final agenda for GS 2025.

891
892

Article 23 – Appeals against GS 2022 art. 60 & 81

- 893 Synod went into closed session.
- Committee 4 presented draft 1 of a report on the admissibility of an appeal by the Willoughby
- Heights CanRC against GS 2022 art. 60 and 81. The report was discussed. The committee took
- the report back for further consideration.
- At the request of Committee 4, a number of rounds of discussion regarding confidential appeals took place.
- 899 Synod returned to open session.

900 901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

Article 24 – Ruling re Application of CO art. 32

Given the discussion reported in Article 23 foregoing, the Chairman made the following ruling, identical to that of GS 2019 art. 39 regarding the application of CO art. 32.

A delegate should abstain if:

- 1. a matter particularly involves his person or his church (CO article 32);
- 2. a matter pertains to the Board of Governors and he is a member of the Board (on account of his legal context);
- 3. a matter pertains to the Standing Committee for the *Book of Praise* and he is a member of the Committee (on account of his legal context);
- A delegate has freedom to vote or abstain but is encouraged to keep the spirit of CO art. 32 in mind when:
 - 4. he was a member of a minor assembly which previously decided regarding a matter being appealed;

A delegate has freedom to vote even though:

- 5. he was a member of a committee reporting to synod (e.g. CER).
- A simple majority constitutes more than half of the votes cast, not counting the abstentions.

917 918

Following some comments from the chairman regarding housekeeping matters, Synod adjourned for committee work.

919920921

922

924 925

Day 2 — Afternoon Session Wednesday, May 7, 2025

923 Article 25 – Reopening

Synod reopened in plenary session at 2:00pm. The chairman observed all synod members were present. He had the meeting sing Hymn 6:1,2.

926 927

Article 26 – Overtures RSE 2024 and RSW 2025 re CO art. 55 – admissibility

- Committee 1 presented draft 1 of a report on the CO 55 overtures, seeking advice and direction
- 929 regarding admissibility. The report was discussed. The committee took the matter back for
- 930 further consideration.

933

934

Article 27 – Worship Service Songs – Procedure

Committee 1 presented an action plan, seeking advice and direction regarding the order in which various matters on Synod's agenda regarding songs sung during worship services should be discussed. The action plan was discussed and agreed to.

935936937

Article 28 – Overtures RSE 2024 and RSW 2025 re CO art. 55 – substance

At the request of Committee 1, an orientational round on the overtures regarding CO art. 55 took place.

940 941

Following some comments regarding housekeeping matters, Synod adjourned for committee work.

942943944

945

946

947

948

Day 2 — Evening Session Wednesday, May 7, 2025

Article 29 – Reopening

Synod reopened in plenary session at 7:00pm. The chairman extended a welcome to all present in the building and online via the livestream. He had the meeting sing Psalm 108:1. He observed all synod members were present.

949950951

952

953

954

955

956

Article 30 – URCNA – Fraternal Delegate Address

Rev. Karlo Janssen introduced Rev. Jason Vander Horst, credentialed delegate of the United Reformed Churches in North America (URCNA). Rev. Vander Horst addressed Synod and provided an overview of the URCNA. He expressed gratitude for our sister-church relationship, expressed in so many ways, noting that our "*Phase* 2" relationship looks to what comes next. The full text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.

957 958 959

960

961

962

963

Article 31 – ERQ – Fraternal Delegate Address

Rev. Marc Jagt introduced Rev. Blaise Tsabang, credentialed delegate of the Reformed Church in Quebec (ERQ). Rev. Tsabang addressed Synod and provided an overview of the ERQ, noting the challenges faced by the congregations. He expressed gratitude for our sister-church relationship. The full text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.

964965966

Article 32 – ARPC – Fraternal Observer Address

Rev. Marc Jagt introduced Rev. Aaron De Boer, credentialed delegate of the Associate Reformed Presbyterian Church (ARPC). Rev. De Boer addressed Synod and provided an overview of the ARPC. He expressed gratitude for our relationship. The full text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.

973

974

Article 33 – FCS – Letter of Greetings

Rev. Karlo Janssen read a letter of greeting sent by the Free Church of Scotland (FCS), declaring gratitude for our relationship. The full text of the letter can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.

975976977

978

979

980

981

982

983 984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

996

997

998

999

1000

Article 34 – Address Church

1. Material

1.1 Report of the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC (8.2.11.1).

2. Admissibility

2.1 The report was declared admissible as it was mandated by GS 2022 and received on time.

3. Observations

- 3.1 GS 2022 (art. 30) appointed the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC to serve as the Address Church and decided:
 - [3.3] To mandate the Address Church to report to the churches on its activities six months prior to the next general synod.
- 3.2 The Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC served as the Address Church of the CanRC during the inter-synodical period.
- 3.3 The Address Church submitted a brief report to GS 2025.

4. Considerations

4.1 The Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC has fulfilled its responsibilities as Address Church with appropriate diligence.

5. Recommendations

995 That Synod decide:

- 5.1 To receive with thankfulness the report from the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC;
- 5.2 To thank the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC for its willingness to continue serving as the Address Church of General Synod;
- 5.3 To mandate the Address Church to submit a report on its activities to the churches six (6) months prior to the convening of the next general synod.

1001 1002

ADOPTED

1003 1004

1005

1006

1009

Article 35 – Archives

1. Material

- 1.1 Report of the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC re Archives (8.2.2.2)
- 1007 1.2 Report of the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC re Inspection of the Archives (8.2.2.1)
 - 1.3 Letter from the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC re appointment (9.12.1)

2. Admissibility

2.1 The reports were declared admissible as they relate to matters mandated by GS 2022 and were received on time.

3. Observations

- 3.1 The Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC submitted a report confirming that the archives of GS 2022 have been properly filed and catalogued, and that it has fulfilled its role as the Archive Church for general synods.
- 3.2 The Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC submitted a report confirming that it has inspected the archives and found the original documents to be in good order.
- 3.3 Burlington (Rehoboth) has also communicated that due to an impending merger with Burlington (Ebenezer), it will no longer be able to serve as the Inspecting Church. It recommends that another church in the vicinity—specifically the Burlington (Fellowship) CanRC—be appointed to take on this task going forward.
- 3.4 Burlington (Ebenezer) notes a discrepancy in GS 2022 art. 29 regarding the deadline for archive reporting. One part of the article stipulates submission "six weeks" prior to Synod, while the mandate section calls for submission "six months" prior. The Archive Church asks Synod to update the mandate to reflect the decision.

4. Considerations

- 4.1 The archive church and Inspecting Church have fulfilled their mandates with diligence and care.
- 4.2 The request to clarify the reporting deadline is appropriate but it is unclear if the error in GS 2022 art. 29 is found in the portion called "decision" (6 weeks prior to GS) or in "mandate" (6 months prior to GS). GS 2025 considers that since most other appointed committees (or churches) are mandated to report to GS six-months prior, and since there is no apparent reason for the archive church or the Inspecting Church to wait till six weeks prior to synod, a deadline of six months prior to synod is most appropriate.
- 4.3 With the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC no longer able to serve as Inspecting Church and in view of its recommendation, it is fitting to appoint the Burlington (Fellowship) CanRC to this role.
- 4.4 The Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC has completed its responsibilities with respect to the archival care of the Acts and documents of GS 2022 and should be formally discharged of that task.

5. Recommendations

That Synod decide:

- 5.1 To receive with thankfulness the reports from the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC (Archive Church) and the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC (Inspecting Church).
- 5.2 To thank the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC for its many years of faithful service in inspecting the archives.
- 5.3 To acknowledge that the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC has completed its responsibilities as Archive Church with respect to GS 2022, and to discharge it of that task with gratitude.

- To mandate the Archive Church and the inspecting church to submit their respective reports six months prior to GS 2028.
- The updated mandate can be found in Appendix XXX.

ADOPTED

1057 1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

Article 36 – CBT (Committee on Bible Translations)

1. Material

- 1.1 Report of the Committee on Bible Translations (CBT) (8.2.9.1);
- 1.2 Letter from the Calgary CanRC (8.3.9.1).

2. Admissibility

2.1 The materials were declared admissible as they relate to matters mandated by and were received on time.

3. Observations

- 3.1 GS 2022 (art. 158) mandated the CBT to:
 - Solicit, receive, and evaluate comments from the churches on the ESV.
 - Submit worthy translation suggestions to the ESV editorial committee.
 - Serve as a resource for Bible translation matters.
 - Validate and submit expenses to the treasurer of the General Fund.
 - Submit a report to the churches six months prior to the next General Synod.
- 3.2 The CBT consists of Rev. Jeff Poort and Rev. Tyler Vandergaag. No new appointments are needed at this time.
- 3.3 The CBT sent a letter to all churches in August 2023, inviting feedback on the ESV and offering to serve as a translation resource.
- 3.4 Two churches responded:
 - One raised general concerns about the readability of the ESV.
 - Another submitted detailed comments on specific texts. The CBT appreciated the
 exegesis but did not find that the comments warranted forwarding to the ESV editorial
 team.
- 3.5 The ESV editorial committee reportedly met in July 2024, but no new edition or public update has been released as of the writing of this report.
- 3.6 The CBT has fulfilled its mandate without incurring any notable expenses.
- 3.7 The Calgary CanRC submitted a letter in response to the CBT report. While expressing appreciation for the CBT's work, Calgary questioned whether it remains beneficial to renew mandate items 1.1 and 1.2, given the February 11, 2025 update from Crossway. They also proposed adding a mandate to evaluate the World English Bible (WEB), a copyright-free translation available in the public domain.

4. Considerations

4.1 The CBT has faithfully carried out its mandate and remains available to serve the churches on matters of Bible translation.

- 4.2 Although public updates to the ESV have been limited, the Crossway document referenced by the Calgary CanRC (February 2025) indicates that changes to the ESV are being implemented and does not preclude further revisions. Therefore, maintaining communication and a posture of readiness to engage remains appropriate.
 - 4.3 The churches have shown only limited engagement with the CBT. Renewing the current mandate continues to provide the churches with a structured channel for interaction and support.
 - 4.4 Calgary's proposal to evaluate the World English Bible has been noted. However, no compelling rationale has been provided for expanding the CBT's mandate in that direction at this time.
 - 4.5 The CBT has functioned efficiently without expense and continues to provide a stable and useful service to the churches.

5. Recommendations

That Synod decide:

- 5.1 To thank the Committee for Bible Translations (CBT) for its faithful service to the churches.
 - 5.2 To mandate the CBT:
 - 5.2.1 To solicit, receive, and evaluate comments from the churches on the ESV;
 - 5.2.2 To submit worthy translation suggestions to the ESV editorial committee;
 - 5.2.3 To serve the churches as a resource for Bible translation matters:
 - 5.2.4 To appoint one of its members to validate and submit to the treasurer of the General Fund all expenses being submitted for committee work;
 - 5.2.5 To prepare and distribute a report to the churches six (6) months in advance of the next general synod.

ADOPTED

111711181119

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

11041105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

11151116

Article 37 – CWeb (Committee for the Official Website)

1120 **1. Material**

1.1 Report of the Committee for the Official Website (CWeb) (8.2.5.1)

2. Admissibility

2.1 The report was declared admissible as it was mandated by GS 2022 and received on time.

3. Observations

- 3.1 GS 2022 (art. 44) mandated the Committee for the Official Website (CWeb) to maintain and update the federation website and email infrastructure, provide English translations of historic Dutch Acts, and serve as administrators of the minister email list.
- 3.2 GS 2022 (art. 30 dec. 3.2) also instructed the CWeb to use the canrc.org website to direct appropriate correspondence to the Address Church.
- 3.3 The CWeb reported that:

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145 1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

- 3.3.1 It has continued regular maintenance of the website, including content updates and posting of news items.
 - 3.3.2 Minor technical improvements have been made, including better formatting for press releases and background improvements for efficiency.
 - 3.3.3 It is testing a new control panel to allow churches to update their own information.
 - 3.3.4 Email forwarding via Mailgun is functioning well, though spam remains a challenge.
 - 3.3.5 The minister email list (via Gaggle Mail) is functioning effectively.
 - 3.3.6 Help desk software (Help Scout) has been adopted and is proving helpful for teambased correspondence.
 - 3.3.7 Translation work on the Acts of Synod 1954, 1958, 1962, and 1965 is ongoing, with the 1965 Acts near publication and the others in progress.
 - 3.3.8 A new committee member will be needed to replace br. Jonathan Reinink.

3.4 The CWeb requests:

- 3.4.1 Clarification regarding compensation for translation work.
- 3.4.2 Approval of a \$10,900 budget for 2025–2028, covering hosting, email, and help desk expenses.
- 3.4.3 Additional funding for translation work.
- 3.4.4 Renewal of its existing mandate.
- 3.5 There is no mention in the CWeb's report of it directing appropriate correspondence to the Address Church.

4. Considerations

- 4.1 The CWeb has faithfully and effectively executed its mandate, ensuring the continued functionality and improvement of the federation's digital infrastructure.
- 4.2 The ongoing translation project is a valuable service to the churches and merits continued support.
- 4.3 While the CWeb requests additional funds for this translation work, it would have been helpful for the committee to propose a specific amount.
- 4.4 The proposed general budget and continuation of the current mandate are reasonable and appropriate.
- 4.5 Appointing a new member will ensure continued stability and effectiveness of the CWeb's work.
- 4.6 Since Synod 2022 (art. 30, dec. 3.2) instructed the CWeb to use the canrc.org website to direct correspondence to the Address Church, it is appropriate to include in the CWeb's mandate a corresponding responsibility to enable this functionality on the federation website.

5. Recommendations

That Synod decide:

- 5.1 To thank the Committee for the Official Website (CWeb) for its diligent work in maintaining and improving the federation's digital and email infrastructure.
- 5.2 To approve the proposed budget of \$10,900 for the 2025–2028 period, to cover ongoing hosting, email forwarding, minister email list, and help desk software expenses.

- To approve additional funds up to a maximum of \$7,500 from the General Fund for the translation of the Acts of Synod 1954, 1958, 1962, and 1965, and to instruct the committee to coordinate with the treasurer in establishing appropriate compensation arrangements.
 - 5.4 To renew the CWeb's mandate with the following tasks:
 - 5.4.1 To maintain the existing website and associated technical functions.
 - 5.4.2 To revise the content of the website as needed, including:
 - 5.4.2.1 Posting news items and official documents.
 - 5.4.2.2 Annual auditing of site content against the yearbook.
 - 5.4.2.3 Maintaining synodical committee pages with up-to-date information.
 - 5.4.2.4Posting synod reports prior to the next general synod.
 - 5.4.3 To make available English translations of the Acts of Synod 1954, 1958, 1962, and 1965, and to submit related expenses to the General Fund.
 - 5.4.4 To provide email forwarding from @canrc.org addresses upon request.
 - 5.4.5 To administer the minister email list.
 - 5.4.6 To validate and submit all committee expenses to the treasurer of the General Fund.
 - 5.4.7 To report to the churches six months prior to the next general synod.
 - 5.4.8 To use the canrc.org website to direct appropriate correspondence to the Address Church, in accordance with the decision of GS 2022 (art. 30 dec.3.2).
 - 5.5 To appoint a new member to the CWeb to replace br. Jonathan Reinink and to receive the nomination under separate cover.

ADOPTED

119711981199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195 1196

Article 38 – Days of Prayer

1. Material

- 1.1 Report from the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC and the Edmonton (Providence) CanRC responsible for calling Days of Prayer (CO art. 54 (8.2.3.1).
- 1.2 Letter from: Grassie (Covenant) (8.3.3.1), Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) (9.8.1).

2. Admissibility

2.1 The materials were declared admissible as they relate to matters mandated by the GS 2022 and were received on time.

3. Observations

- 3.1 GS 2022 (art. 31) appointed the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC and the Edmonton (Providence) CanRC to proclaim Days of Prayer as per CO art. 54.
- 3.2 These appointed churches report that no Day of Prayer was proclaimed during their term. They received three requests (from the Cloverdale CanRC, the Ancaster CanRC, and the Grassie (Covenant) CanRC), all of which were declined on the grounds that the matters raised did not meet the threshold of a "general calamity or other great affliction" as outlined in CO art. 54 and interpreted in GS 2004 art. 40.

- 1215 3.3 The churches explained that a Day of Prayer is not intended for general ecclesiastical 1216 reflection or unity but is meant to be a response to acute and immediate crises with 1217 direct impact on the churches or nation.
 - 3.4 The Grassie (Covenant) CanRC submitted a letter to GS 2025 expressing concern that the current application of CO art. 54 may be too narrow. They argue that biblically, days of prayer often precede calamity and are acts of priestly intercession on behalf of the nation. They suggest that legislative changes (e.g., Bill C-4) are, in themselves, spiritual calamities that may warrant a Day of Prayer.
 - 3.5 The Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC reports that it is in the process of merging with the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC and suggests that the responsibility for proclaiming Days of Prayer be transferred to the merged entity, with the consent of Ebenezer's council.

4. Considerations

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227 1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

12331234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244 1245

1246

1248

1252

1253

- 4.1 The churches appointed under CO art. 54 acted within the scope of their mandate, using precedent from previous synods and the clear language of CO art. 54 to guide their decisions.
- 4.2 While letter of the Grassie (Covenant) CanRC reflects a commendable zeal for prayer and national repentance, it expands the intent of CO art. 54 beyond what the Church Order appears to contemplate. Nonetheless, Grassie raises a valid question regarding whether our current interpretation of "general calamities and other great afflictions" should remain as stringent as defined in 2004.
- 4.3 Synod notes the good cooperation between the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC and the Burlington (Ebenezer) CanRC councils in planning for the continuity of this task post-merger. There is no need to appoint a new church if Synod reaffirms the task to the Rehoboth church with the understanding that it will transfer seamlessly to the merged church.

5. Recommendations

That Synod decide:

5.1 To thank the Burlington Waterdown (Rehoboth) CanRC and the Edmonton (Providence) CanRC for their faithful execution of their mandate under CO art. 54.

ADOPTED

1247

Article 39 – CER (Committee on Ecumenical Relations) General Mandate

1249 Committee 2 presented draft 1 of a report on the Report 1: General Mandate of the Committee on 1250 Ecumenical Relations (CER). The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for 1251 refinement.

Article 40 – CRTS (Board of Governors): sixth professor

1254 Committee 3 presented draft 1 of a report on a letter from the Toronto (Bethel) CanRC re 1255 considering the appointment of a sixth professor at CRTS (Canadian Reformed Theological 1256 Seminary). The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for refinement.

1257	
1258	Article 41 – Closing Devotions
1259 1260	Rev. Hilmer Jagersma read Philippians 1:3-11, led in prayer, remembering URCNA, ERQ, ARPC, and FCS. The day was closed with the singing of Hymn 78.
1261	
1262	Synod was adjourned until 9:00am.
1263	
1264	Day 3 — Morning Session
1265	Thursday, May 8, 2025
1266	Article 42 – Reopening
1267 1268 1269	Synod reopened in plenary session. The chairman observed all synod members were present. He read Psalm 2, spoke some devotional words, led in opening prayer, and had the meeting sing Psalm 2.
1270	
1271	Article 43 – Adoption of Acts; Publication of GS 2025 art. 10
1272 1273	The prepared articles of the <i>Acts</i> were corrected. It was determined that a second review of these acts would be done prior to adoption.
1274 1275	It was decided that the composition of the Advisory Committees (GS 2025 art. 10) would not be made public until after General Synod was ended.
1276	
1277	Synod was adjourned for committee work.
1278	
1279	Day 3 — Evening Session
1280	Thursday, May 8, 2025
1281	Article 44 – Reopening
1282 1283	Synod reopened in plenary session at 7:00pm. The chairman extended a welcome to all present in the building and online via the livestream. He had the meeting sing Hymn 4. He observed all
1284	synod members were present.
1285	5,
1286	Article 45 – RPCNA – Fraternal Observer Address
1287	Rev. Marc Jagt introduced Rev. Johnathan Kruis, credentialed delegate of the Reformed
1288 1289	Presbyterian Church of North America (RPCNA). Rev. Kruis addressed Synod describing the RPCNA, explaining, among others, the functioning of <i>The Testimony</i> and the practice of female
1290 1291	deacons. He expressed gratitude for our relationship. The full text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response.
1291	The chairman also spoke some words of farewell to a fraternal delegate who would be leaving
1293	during the course of this session.

Article 46 – CER General Mandate

1. Material

- 1.1 CER Report 1 General Mandate (8.2.10.1), Nominations (9.3.1)
- 1298 1.2 Submissions from the following churches: Ancaster (8.3.10.1), Grand Rapids (8.3.10.10)

2. Admissibility

2.1 The report was declared admissible as it was mandated by GS 2022 and received on time.

3. Observations

- 3.1 GS 2022 (art. 121 dec. 3.5) mandated the CER:
 - a) To continue contact with churches with whom we are in ecumenical relations;
 - b) To send an appropriate number of delegates to represent the CanRC churches at the meetings of the International Conference of Reformed Churches (ICRC) and North American Presbyterian and Reformed Council (NAPARC);
 - c) To work in consultation with individual CanRC churches and classes that maintain contact with churches for which the CER also has a mandate;
 - d) Upon request, to advise CanRC churches regarding the identity of other churches and our relationship with them;
 - e) To report on any contact with a church with whom we are not in an ecumenical relationship;
 - f) To appoint one of its members to validate and submit to the treasurer of the General Fund all expenses being submitted for committee work;
 - g) To submit its report to the churches six months prior to the convening of general synod (a supplementary report can be submitted if necessary);
 - h) To facilitate hospitality support for fraternal delegates and observers, in consultation with the Convening Church, at each general synod.
- 3.2 From the CER report, the following:
 - 3.2.1 The CER completed its mandate with the involvement of Br. Bos (ERQ, GGRCI, GGRI, GGRI, GGRI-T), Br. Bouwman (NAPARC, RPCNA, FCC, FCS), Br. De Boer (DGK, FRCA, GKN), Br. Gortemaker (IRB, RCUS), Br. Schouten (URCNA, IRB, RCNZ), Rev. Bruintjes (ARPC, FRCSA, KPCA, KPCK), Rev. Jagt (KPCA, KPCK, FRCNA, HRCNA, NAPARC), Rev. Janssen (FRCNA, HRCNA, ICRC, DGK, GKN), Rev. Pol (ERQ, GGRCI, GGRI, GGRI-T), Rev. Temple (FRCSA, ARPC, ICRC, OPC), Rev. Van Dam (FCC, FCS, OPC, FRCA), Rev. Vandevelde (RCNZ, RCUS, RPCNA, URCNA);
 - 3.2.2 The terms of Br. G. Bos and Br. O. Bouwman end with GS 2025. Also retiring from the CER is Rev. S.C. Van Dam;
 - 3.2.3 The CER met 14 times noting that the merging of the Committee for Contact with Churches in North America (of the CanRC) (CCCNA) and the Committee for Relations with Churches Abroad (of the CanRC) (CRCA) has worked very profitably;
 - 3.2.4 In the execution of their mandate there has been interaction with churches outside their mandate, notably the Sudanese Reformed Churches (SRC), the Evangelical

- Presbyterian Church in England and Wales (EPCEW), and the Reformed Presbyterian Church in Central and Eastern Europe (RPCCEE);
 - 3.2.5 Br. Schouten was appointed to validate and submit expenses to the treasurer of the General Fund;
 - 3.2.6 The CER should ideally consist of 6 ministers and 6 non-ministers;
 - 3.2.7 Half the members are due to retire in 2028.

3.3 The CER recommends:

- 3.3.1 Synod decide to give the CER the following general mandate:
 - 3.3.1.1 To continue contact with churches with whom we are in ecumenical relations according to the adopted rules;
 - 3.3.1.2 To convey the relevant decisions of general synods to churches with whom we have an ecumenical relation;
 - 3.3.1.3 To work in consultation with individual CanRC churches and classes that maintain contact with churches for which the CER also has a mandate;
 - 3.3.1.4 Upon request, to advise CanRC churches regarding the identity of other churches and our relationship with them;
 - 3.3.1.5 To report on any contact with a church with whom we are not in an ecumenical relationship;
 - 3.3.1.6 To appoint one of its members to validate and submit to the treasurer of the General Fund all expenses being submitted for committee work;
 - 3.3.1.7 To submit its report on the general mandate to the churches 6 months prior to the convening of general synod (a supplementary report can be submitted if necessary):
 - 3.3.1.8 To facilitate hospitality support for fraternal delegates and observers, in consultation with the convening church, at the next general synod.
- 3.4 The Grand Rapids ARC states that almost all the contacts and discussions took place in the context of either NAPARC and ICRC meetings and wonders if the sort of substantive discussions that on-going ecumenical contact requires can be held in that context.
- 3.5 The Ancaster CanRC asks what precisely the standing is of theological students from outside the CanRC who study at CRTS and are thus members of the CanRC, and who are licensed to preach among the churches of their federation of origin. Ancaster requests that this matter be included in some appropriate place in the mandate of the CER, work then be made of it and then reported to the churches ahead of GS 2028. They provide a proposal for implementing this.

4. Considerations

- 4.1 The CER has fulfilled their mandate.
- 4.2 The Grand Rapids ARC misunderstands the work of the CER delegates. At NAPARC and ICRC meetings, delegates of the various interchurch relationship committees will hold bi-lateral meetings, in addition to the plenary sessions. Further, fraternal delegates are regularly sent to the various assemblies.
- 4.3 The Ancaster CanRC correctly notes that theological students are not included in the current rules for Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF).

_	Th.	1 4.
5.	Recommen	adatione
J.	KCCOIIIIICI	iuauviis

That Synod decide:

1382

1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

140714081409

1410

1412

1413

1414

1415

14161417

1418

- 5.1 To thank Br. G. Bos, Br. O. Bouwman and Rev. S.C. Van Dam for their years of service to the churches;
- 5.2 To give the CER the following general mandate:
 - 5.2.1 To continue contact with churches with whom we are in ecumenical relations according to the adopted rules;
 - 5.2.2 To convey the relevant decisions of general synods to churches with whom we have an ecumenical relation;
 - 5.2.3 To work in consultation with individual CanRC churches and classes that maintain contact with churches for which the CER also has a mandate;
 - 5.2.4 Upon request, to advise CanRC churches regarding the identity of other churches and our relationship with them;
 - 5.2.5 To report on any contact with a church with whom we are not in an ecumenical relationship;
 - 5.2.6 To appoint one of its members to validate and submit to the treasurer of the General Fund all expenses being submitted for committee work;
 - 5.2.7 To submit its report on the general mandate to the churches 6 months prior to the convening of general synod (a supplementary report can be submitted if necessary);
 - 5.2.8 To facilitate hospitality support for fraternal delegates and observers, in consultation with the Convening Church, at the next general synod;
 - 5.2.9 To pursue the proposal provided by the Ancaster CanRC (included in Appendix XXX) and come with recommendations to the next general synod for how theological students may be included in the rules for Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF).

ADOPTED

During proceedings, the following motion to amend was duly made and seconded:

In 5.2.9 to replace the words

"how theological students are to be included"

With

"how theological students may be included"

This motion was adopted.

Article 47 – CER Study Report

- 1419 Committee 2 presented draft 1 of a report on Report 2: Study Mandate of the Committee on
- 1420 Ecumenical Relations (CER). The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for
- 1421 further consideration.

1423 Article 48 – RPCNA (Reformed Presbyterian Church of North America)

- 1424 Committee 2 presented draft 1 of a report on Report 10: RPCNA of the Committee on
- Ecumenical Relations (CER). The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for
- 1426 further consideration.

1427 1428

1429

14301431

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

1454 1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1461

Article 49 – CER - OPC

1. Material

1.1 Committee for Ecumenical Relations (CER) Report 8: Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC) – Agenda Item (8.2.10.8)

1432 **2. Admissibility**

2.1 The report was declared admissible as it was requested by synod and received on time.

3. Observations

- 3.1 GS 2022 (art. 164) mandated the CER:
 - [3.1] To continue the relationship of Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF) with the Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC) under the adopted rules;
 - [3.2] To mandate the Committee on Ecumenical Relations (CER) to submit its report to the churches six months prior to the convening of the next general synod.
- 3.2 From the CER report, the following:
 - 3.2.1 Continued engagement with the Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC), including attendance at its General Assembly;
 - 3.2.2 "At this time, there has been a long and fruitful relationship between the CanRC and the OPC:"
 - 3.2.3 "Over the past number of years, our delegates have worked very closely within the context of the ICRC. This was particularly the case as the CanRC and OPC stood together, and worked together, in navigating the challenge of terminating the GKv's membership in the ICRC."
- 3.3 The CER recommends:
 - 3.3.1 To express our thankfulness for the blessing of enjoying a lengthy and fruitful relationship of Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF) with the Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC);
 - 3.3.2 To continue EF category A with the OPC according to the adopted rules;
 - 3.3.3 To mandate the CER to:
 - 3.3.3.1 To send delegates to meetings of the OPC GA at least once every two years;
 - 3.3.3.2 To submit its report on its activities with respect to the OPC to the churches 6 months prior the convening of the next general synod.

4. Considerations

- 4.1 The Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC) remains a confessionally Reformed church with which the CanRC shares a long-standing relationship.
- 4.2 The CER has diligently maintained contact and reported faithfully.

_	T)	1 4 •
•	Racamm	endations
J.	IXCCOIIIIII	Chuanons

1463 That Synod decide:

- 5.1 To express our thankfulness for the blessing of enjoying a lengthy and fruitful relationship of Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF) with the Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC);
- 5.2 To continue Ecclesiastical Fellowship (EF) category A with the Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC) [assuming Synod adopts the recommended new rules] according to the adopted rules;
- 5.3 To mandate the CER to:
 - 5.3.1 To send delegates to meetings of the Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC) General Assembly at least once every two years;
 - 5.3.2 To submit its report on its activities with respect to the OPC to the churches 6 months prior the convening of the next general synod.

14751476 **ADOPTED**

1477 Article 50 – Overture: Adding a Footnote to the Apostles' Creed

- 1478 Committee 3 presented draft 1 of a report on RSE 2024 Overture on Adding a Footnote to the
- 1479 Apostles' Creed. The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for further
- 1480 consideration.

1481 1482

1462

1464

1465

1466

1467

1468

1469

1470

1471

1472

1473

1474

Article 51 – Appeal: Length of Committee Terms

- 1483 Committee 5 presented draft 1 of a report on an appeal and an "overture" re the length of Synod
- 1484 Committee Terms. The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for further
- 1485 consideration.

1486

Article 52 – CPTPF (Committee for Pastoral Training Program Funding)

- 1488 Committee 3 presented draft 1 of a report on an appeal and an "overture" re the length of Synod
- 1489 Committee Terms. The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for further
- 1490 consideration.

1491 1492

Article 53 – Closing Devotions

- 1493 Rev. Marc Jagt read Philippians 2:12-18 and led in prayer, remembering the RPCNA. The day
- was closed with the singing of Hymn 28:1,3,5.

1495

1496 Synod was adjourned until 9:00am.

Day 4 — Morning Session

1497

1498

1536

Friday, May 9, 2025 **Article 54 – Reopening** 1499 Synod reopened in plenary session. The Chairman read Psalm 122, spoke some devotional 1500 words, led in opening prayer, and had the meeting sing Psalm 122. He observed all synod 1501 members were present and made some housekeeping comments regarding pictures and this 1502 evening's dinner. He welcomed two newly arrived fraternal observers and said a farewell to 1503 some others who would be leaving soon. 1504 1505 **Article 55 – Adoption of Acts** 1506 The prepared articles of the Acts were corrected. It was determined that the process for further 1507 corrections to the Acts would be decided to by the clerks. 1508 1509 **Article 56 – FRCNA Fraternal Observer Address** 1510 Rev. Marc Jagt introduced Rev. John Koopman and Rev. Jack Schoeman, credentialed delegates 1511 of the Free Reformed Churches of North America (FRCNA). Rev. Schoeman addressed Synod 1512 describing the FRCNA. He expressed gratitude for our relationship, tracing its history and 1513 spending some time on the topic of the nature of preaching in the CanRC and FRCNA. The full 1514 text of his address can be found in Appendix XXX. The chairman spoke some words in response. 1515 1516 Article 57 – Appeal against RSW 2022 art. 12 (*In-person Worship*) 1517 Committee 5 presented draft 1 of a report on an appeal against RSW 2022 art. 12 (*In-person* 1518 Worship). The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for further 1519 consideration. 1520 1521 Article 58 – Appeal against GS 2022 art. 143 dec. 3.2 (Preparatory Examinations) 1522 1. Material 1523 1.1 Appeal from Coaldale re Preparatory Examination (8.6.4.1). 1524 2. Admissibility 1525 2.1 The appeal was declared admissible. 1526 3. Observations 1527 The Coaldale CanRC appeals GS 2022 art. 143 dec. 3.2 which changed the Regulations 1528 for Ecclesiastical Exams to read: 1529 The preparatory examination is to occur in the classis where the church that sent the 1530 student's original attestation to the federational seminary belongs. The preparatory 1531 examination for a student not originating within the Canadian & American Reformed 1532 Churches is to occur in the classis where he lives. 1533 3.2 Coaldale proposes to delete the word "federational", claiming that by specifying a 1534 federational seminary, the amendment closes any pathway for classical examination if a 1535

man were to study at a non-federational seminary.

- 1537 3.3 Coaldale proposes that the Regulation Ecclesiastical Exams, GS 1958 art. 188 part I.1 (GS 2022, Appendix 23) be amended to read:
 - To be eligible for call in one of the churches, one must have successfully sustained the preparatory examination. The preparatory examination will take place in the classis where the church that sent the student's original attestation to the seminary belongs. The preparatory examination for a student not originating within the Canadian & American Reformed Churches is to occur in the classis where the student resides.
 - 3.4 Coaldale appeals GS 2022 art. 143 dec. 3.4 which amended CO art. 4B to read:

 Only those shall be declared eligible for call within the churches who (1) have passed a preparatory examination by classis....
 - 3.5 Coaldale claims that this article is not clear with respect to which classis ought to examine the student, and is open to abuse, allowing for a student to select the classis he wishes to be examined by.
 - 3.6 Coaldale proposes CO art. 4B to be amended to:

 Only those shall be declared eligible for call within the churches who (1) have passed a preparatory examination by classis where the church that sent the student's original attestation to the seminary belongs...

4. Considerations

4.1 The Coaldale CanRC is incorrect that the wording adopted by GS 2022 art. 143 dec. 3.2 closes any pathway for classical examination if a man were to study at a non-federational seminary. GS 1968 art. 171 indicates that a student from another seminary needs a certificate from the teaching staff of the CRTS as a condition of requesting a preparatory examination by classis:

To be admitted to the ecclesiastical examinations candidates shall submit proof that they have completed their studies at our own Theological College. Candidates who took their theological training at other institutions shall present a Certificate issued by the Staff of the Theological College of the Canadian Reformed Churches stating that they have followed and/or complemented a course of studies conforming with the training provided by the Theological College of the Canadian Reformed Churches.

4.2 The Coaldale CanRC is incorrect when it claims that CO art. 4B requires more clarity as to which classis examines a student requesting preparatory examination. CO art. 4B gives the general principles while the details are specified in the Regulations for Ecclesiastical Exams (GS 2022 Appendix 23 [I.1]).

5. Recommendations

That Synod decide:

5.1 To deny the Coaldale CanRC appeal against GS 2022 art. 143 dec. 3.2 (*Preparatory Examinations*).

ADOPTED

1579	Article 59 – Appeal against GS 2022 art. 142 (Days of Commemoration)
1580 1581 1582	Committee 5 presented draft 1 of a report on an appeal against GS 2022 art. 142 (<i>Days of Commemoration</i>). The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for further consideration.
1583	
1584	Article 60 – Report: General Fund
1585 1586	Committee 5 presented draft 1 of a report on the General Fund. The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for further consideration.
1587	
1588	Synod was adjourned for committee work.
1589	
1590	Day 4 — Evening Session
1591	Friday, May 9, 2025
1592	Article 61 – Closing Devotions
1593 1594	Synod reopened in plenary session at 8:50pm. The Chairman made some housekeeping comments.
1595 1596	Rev. Jake Torenvliet spoke some devotional words, read Acts 11:19-26, had the meeting sing Psalm 107:1,4,12, and led in prayer.
1597	
1598	Synod was adjourned until 9:00am.
1599	

1600	Day 5 — Morning Session
1601	Saturday, May 10, 2025
1602	Article 62 – Reopening
1603 1604 1605	Synod reopened in plenary session. The Chairman read Psalm 48, spoke some devotional words, led in opening prayer, and had the meeting sing Psalm 48. He observed all synod members were present and made some housekeeping comments regarding the day's proceedings.
1606	Article 63 – Extra Housekeeping Matter
1607 1608 1609 1610	Presence on the Internet: Only plenary sessions in which individuals address General Synod and the churches as a whole, will be live streamed publicly (cf. GS 2025 art. 6)
1611	Article 64 – Adoption of Acts
1612 1613	The prepared articles of the <i>Acts</i> were corrected. It was noted that the clerks had decided to a procedure for adopting the acts and had communicated that to all the delegates.
1614	
1615	Article 65 – Appeal against GS 2022 art. 60 & 81
1616	Synod went into closed session.
1617	This act is not published
1618 1619	This act is not published.
1620	Article 66 – CONFIDENTIAL – Personal Appeal 8.6.8.4
1621	Synod continued in closed session.
1622	Synot commute in crossed session.
1623	This act is not published.
1624	
1625	Article 67 – CONFIDENTIAL – Personal Appeal 8.6.8.2
1626	Synod continued in closed session.
1627	
1628	This act is not published.
1629	
1630	Synod returned to open session.
1631	
1632	Article 68 – Overtures RSE 2024 and RSW 2024 re CO art. 55
1633 1634	Committee 1 presented draft 1 of a report on CO art. 55. The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for further consideration.
1635	

1636	Article 69 – CER Study Report
1637 1638 1639	Committee 2 presented draft 1 of a report on Report 2: Study Mandate of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations (CER). The report was discussed. The committee took the report back for further consideration.
1640 1641 1642 1643	Synod decided to provisionally adopt new rules for ecumenical relations. This provisional adoption took place with a view to decisions to be taken on Monday, May 12, regarding various churches with whom the CanRC have ecumenical relations.
1644 1645	Synod was adjourned for committee work.
1646	Article 70 – Closing Devotions
1647 1648	Synod reopened in plenary session at 1:00pm. The Chairman made some housekeeping comments.
1649 1650	Rev. Hendrik Alkema read Isaiah 6:1-8, spoke some devotional words, had the meeting sing Psalm 133, and led in prayer.
1651	
1652 1653	Synod was adjourned until Monday 9:00am.